

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,226	11/25/2003	Yasushi Nishimori	0757-0280P	4350
2292 75	90 06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			LOBO, IAN J	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,226	NISHIMORI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	lan J. Lobo	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A					
	,—				
* *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9, 11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 3662

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-9, 11 and 12 in the reply filed on April 20, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that submit that the examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of "restrictability", and fails to provide any evidence for the allegation that the inventions are mutually exclusive. Further applicants argue that there would be no serious burden to examine the claims from Group 1 and Group 11 together. This is not found persuasive because it is argued that the two inventions which are drawn to an ultrasonic transmitter and a method for controlling the transmission of an ultrasonic signal are mutually exclusive inventions by the very content of the claim language. Further, the search and examination in the two different search classes/subclasses is a serious burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because on line 3, after "associated with", the word "a" should be inserted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3662

4. Claims 1-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller ('671) or Cole et al ('033) when taken in view of Hossack et al ('228).

Cole et al discloses a method for controlling the transmission of an ultrasonic signal from a transducer array and an ultrasonic transmitter. The transmitter includes a transducer having a plurality of transducer elements (T-111 – T-114), and a transmitting beamformer (T-50) for providing commands to generate carrier drive signals. Note that the transmit beamformer generates a control signal for each channel.

Miller discloses a method for controlling the transmission of an ultrasonic signal from a transducer array and an ultrasonic transmitter. The transmitter includes an ultrasonic transmitter which includes a transducer (2) having a plurality of transducer elements (34), and a transmitting beamformer (8) for providing commands to generate carrier drive signals. Note that the transmit beamformer generates a control signal for each channel.

The difference between claim 1 and the Miller or Cole et al transmitters is the claim specifies "controlling pulse durations of a reference signal".

Hossack et al discloses an ultrasonic imaging system that includes a transducer and a transmitting beamformer. On col. 13, lines 52-65, it is suggested that the transmit waveforms be pulse width (duration) modulated where the duration of each pulse within the burst is selected to reduce the energy transmitted at harmonic frequencies.

Therefore, in view of Hossack et al, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify either Miller or Cole et al to control pulse widths (durations) of a reference

Art Unit: 3662

signal to generate the carrier drive signal so as to reduce harmonic frequency transmissions. Claim 1 is so rejected.

Dependent claims 2-9, 11 and 12 are further provided by the combination of the above noted prior art.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments, filed December 29, 2005, with respect to the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lan J. Lobo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662